LYDIAN



"Solvency II 2020 Review Risks and opportunities for run-off business"

Benelux Run-Off FORUM '19 – 21 november 2019

Sandra Lodewijckx, Partner Alexander Hamels, Associate

LEGAL INSIGHT. BUSINESS INSTINCT.



About Lydian

- Belgian independent full-service business law firm
- Founded in 2001
- Offices in Brussels, Antwerp and Hasselt
- 85 lawyers (16 insurance lawyers)

"Lydian's 'internationally-focused' team 'knows the market' and is 'unique in Belgium in its knowledge about jurisprudence, case law and compliance in the insurance business" – "top-class international insurance lawyers" – Legal 500



Solvency II 2020 Review – Risks and opportunities for run-off business

- 1. Introduction
 - Definition of "run-off business"
 - Market exit strategies in Belgium brief overview
 - Solvency II accelerator for run-off transactions
- 2. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Solvency II 2020 Review
 - Background
 - Discussion of selected topics
 - Key takeaways & next steps
- 3. Q&A



1.1. Defining "run-off"

- no legal definition
- few literature
- run-off sensu strictu



"(Re)insurance undertakings that no longer have an insurance license but are in the process of liquidating an insurance portfolio or technical liability."

Source: National Bank of Belgium (Circular CBFA_2010_31-2).

run-off sensu lato (= run-off / legacy / inactive business / discontinued business / closed book)

"A part of business underwritten in the past, for which there are still existing obligations (actual and potential insurance liabilities), but no new business is underwritten and in most cases it no longer generates premium income."

Source: O. KHOMENKO, "Solvency II and its impact on discontinued business in non-life insurance", European Insurance Law Review, vol. 2016, no. 2, 2016.

-> All (re)insurance undertakings are somehow involved in run-off



1.1. Reasons for run-off



FINANCE

- unprofitable portfolio
- capital deployment
- insolvency / liquidation
- conversion of volatile portfolio to fixed value (portfolio transfer)
- ratings & access to financial securities (portfolio transfer)

STRATEGY

- focus on core business
- change of business objectives & strategy
- group strategy
- change of control
- m&a activity (e.g. m&a dealbreaker, post-merger integration, by-product of a transaction)
- spillover effect of reputational damage

REGULATION

- Solvency II (capital requirements)
- loss or suspension of license
- regulatory differences between jurisdictions
- legal uncertainty
- aml risk
- international economic sanctions
- litigation
- supervisory action





1.1. Understanding "run-off business"

| | Passive run-off | Active run-off |
|---------------------|---|---|
| profitability | low | high |
| hr | Classic (internal?) | specialised & tailored to size (third party?) |
| capital management | static | dynamic release of capital |
| reserves management | holding to expiration | accelerated reduction |
| claims management | passive | active |
| management focus | other lines of business | run-off business |
| finality | classic lifespan of insurance contracts | accelerated run-off and early finality (portfolio transfer, commutations, novations, etc.) |





Source: PwC Global Insurance Run-off Survey 2019





LYDIAN

1.3. Solvency II – Accelerator for run-off business



| Solvency | |
|----------|--|
|----------|--|

A. Quantitative requirements

- capital requirements (SCR, MCR)
- Solvency II balance sheet

-> capital charge of a run-off portfolio could be 10x higher than before SII (Endres, De Galhau, 2010)

B. Qualitative requirements

- ORSA & Supervisory Review Process (SRP)
- system of governance

challenges:

- limited staff
- old records (AML?)
- Estimation of assets and liabilities for ORSA
- Capital add-ons after SRP?

C. Reporting & disclosure

- reporting to supervisor & general public

reputational risk & ratings





LYDIAN

2. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Solvency II 2020 Review



EIOPA-BoS-19/465 15 October 2019

Consultation Paper

on the

Opinion on the 2020 review of Solvency II



2.1. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Solvency II 2020 Review - Background

- Solvency II Directive
 - (Article 77f, 111(3), 129 and 242 (2))
- European Commission's Request to EIOPA for technical advice on the review of the Solvency II Directive, 11 February 2019
- Three EIOPA Consultations:
 - Consultation on Solvency II 2020 Review + Impact Assessment
 - Consultation on Advice on the harmonisation of national insurance guarantee schemes (*closed*)
 - Consultation on supervisory reporting and public disclosure (*closed*)



2.1. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Solvency II 2020 Review - Background

Solvency II Directive: 4 areas of review

long-term guarantees measures and measures on equity risk

Solvency Capital Requirement standard formula

Member States' rules and supervisory authorities' practices regarding the calculation of the Minimum Capital Requirement

group supervision and capital management within a group of insurance or reinsurance undertakings

EC Request to EIOPA for technical advice: addition of 15 additional areas of review

| transitional measures | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| transitional measures | | | | | |
| risk margin | | | | | |
| Capital Markets Union aspects | | | | | |
| Dynamic modelling of the Volatility adjustment | | | | | |
| Techniques used to reduce Solvency Capital Requirements | | | | | |
| macroprudential issues | | | | | |
| recovery and resolution | | | | | |
| insurance guarantee schemes | | | | | |
| freedom to provide services and freedom of establishment | | | | | |
| Group supervision | | | | | |
| reporting and disclosure | | | | | |
| proportionality and thresholds | | | | | |
| best estimate | | | | | |
| own funds at solo level | | | | | |
| Deductors will a set an external actions | | | | | |

Reducing reliance on external ratings

LYDIAN

2.2. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Solvency II 2020 Review

- 878 pages Consultation paper
- 260 pages impact assessment
- three blocks of proposals:
 - 1. long term guarantee measures
 - 2. new regulatory tools
 - macro-prudential issues
 - recovery and resolution
 - insurance guarantee schemes
 - 3. revision to the existing Solvency II framework, e.g.
 - freedom of services and establishment
 - reporting and disclosure
 - solvency capital requirements





2.1. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Solvency II 2020 Review - Background







2.2. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Solvency II 2020 Review

Recovery & Resolution

- minimum harmonisation
- protection of financial stability
- principle of proportionality
- also applicable to reinsurance undertakings
- proposals inspired on:
 - EIOPA 2017 Opinion on the harmonisation of recovery and resolution frameworks for (re-insurers across the Member States)
 - FSB Key attributes of effective resolution regimes for financial institutions
 - Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD)
 - IAIS ICP 12 and ComFrame (November 2019 reforms?)
 - ESRB reports on recovery and resolution for the insurance sector





Figure: Crisis management flow

| | Crisis prevention | Early intervention | Recov | /ery | Resolution/ Liquidation |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Capital buffers | Surplus above SCR | Significant and/or continuous drop in surplus above SCR | Non- compliance with SCR | Non- compliance with MCR | Point of non- viability |
| Actions taken by the | Business as usual | Early intervention measures | Solvency II recovery planning and actions | | Resolution |
| undertaking | | | | | |
| Actions taken by the supervisor | Ongoing supervision | Early intervention powers | Solvency II ladder of intervention | | Resolution powers |

Source: EIOPA Consultation Paper on the Opinion on the 2020 review of Solvency II



- context: normal course of business
- pre-emptive <u>recovery</u> planning
 - development of operations, risks and recovery options for stress scenarios
 - principle of proportionality
 - Not all (re)insurers are concerned
 - Option of simplified obligations (content and frequency)
- at group level or, if NSA requires, at solo level
- currently no harmonisation
 - different from ORSA, SII recovery plans or resolution planning



2.2. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Solvency II 2020 Review – Crisis prevention

- context: normal course of business
- pre-emptive <u>resolution</u> planning
 - development of resolution plans and resolvability assessments
 - principle of proportionality
 - not all (re)insurers are concerned -> scope is smaller than recovery planning
 - option of simplified obligations (content and frequency)
- at group level or, if NSA requires, at solo level
- different from recovery planning



Figure: Crisis management flow

| | Crisis prevention | Early intervention | Recov | very | Resolution/ Liquidation |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Capital buffers | Surplus above SCR | Significant and/or continuous drop in surplus above SCR | Non- compliance with SCR | Non- compliance with MCR | Point of non- viability |
| Actions taken by the undertaking | Business as usual | Early intervention measures | Solvency II recovery planning and actions | | Resolution |
| Actions taken by the supervisor | Ongoing supervision | Early intervention powers | Solvency II ladder of intervention | | Resolution powers |

Source: EIOPA Consultation Paper on the Opinion on the 2020 review of Solvency II



2.2. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Solvency II 2020 Review – Early intervention



- context: deteriorating financial conditions (but not yet a breach of SCR and MCR)
- intensified supervision, NSA may require:
 - additional or more frequent reporting
 - update or implement measures set out in the pre-emptive recovery plan
 - e.g. raise capital, governance changes, adjustments to business lines and operations, limitation of asset transfers
 - in case no pre-emptive recovery plan is available: draft recovery plan
 - Iimit variable remuneration and bonuses
 - exceptionally: suspend or limit the right of policyholders to surrender their contracts ("policy freeze" or "bail-in")
- no new capital requirements



Figure: Crisis management flow

| | Crisis prevention | Early intervention | Recov | /ery | Resolution/ Liquidation |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Capital buffers | Surplus above SCR | Significant and/or continuous drop in surplus above SCR | Non- compliance with SCR | Non- compliance with MCR | Point of non- viability |
| Actions taken | | Early intervention | Solvoney II roc | | |
| by the undertaking | Business as usual | Early intervention measures | Solvency II recovery planning and actions | | Resolution |
| Actions taken | | | | | |
| by the supervisor | Ongoing supervision | Early intervention powers | Solvency II ladder of intervention | | Resolution powers |

Source: EIOPA Consultation Paper on the Opinion on the 2020 review of Solvency II



- context: breach of SCR / MCR
- no new proposals
 - breach of SCR remains trigger for entry into recovery
- articles 136-140, Solvency II
 - notification
 - recovery plan and re-establishment of SCR and/or MCR
 - regulatory intervention
 - Iimitation of free disposal of assets
 - withdrawal of authorisation
 - "all measures necessary"



Figure: Crisis management flow

| | Crisis prevention | Early intervention | Recov | /ery | Resolution/ Liquidation |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Capital buffers | Surplus above SCR | Significant and/or continuous drop in surplus above SCR | Non- compliance with SCR | Non- compliance with MCR | Point of non- viability |
| Actions taken by the | Business as usual | Early intervention measures | Solvency II recovery planning and actions | | Resolution |
| undertaking | | | | | |
| Actions taken by the supervisor | Ongoing supervision | Early intervention powers | Solvency II ladder of intervention | | Resolution powers |

Source: EIOPA Consultation Paper on the Opinion on the 2020 review of Solvency II



- context:
 - point of non-viability
 - recovery measures have been exhausted or cannot be implemented in a timely manner; and/or
 - necessity for the public interests
- four principles:
 - protection of policyholders, beneficiaries and claimants
 - financial stability
 - continuity of functions whose disruption could harm financial stability and the real economy
 - protection of public funds

designation of a (national) resolution authority

LYDIAN

Resolution Authority's competences

- prohibit the payment and allow the recovery of variable remuneration
- withdraw the license to write new business and put all or a part of the business into run-off
- share transfer
- assets and liabilities transfer / portfolio transfer
- policy freeze
- stay rights of reinsurers to terminate or not reinstate coverage on the basis of the ceding undertaking entry into resolution



Resolution Authority's competences

- stay rights of creditors
- ensure continuity of essential services intra-group
- take control of the insurer in resolution
- derogate from the general principle of equal treatment of creditors of the same class (*pari passu*)
- restructure, limit or write down liabilities and allocate losses to shareholders, creditors <u>and</u> <u>policyholders</u>



- bail-in over bail-out, but:
 - allocation of losses to policyholders as a last resort
 - Alternatives available?
 - necessity for other powers to be effective and to limit the losses of policyholders
 - Insurance guarantee schemes
 - Cf. ECJ, joined cases C-18/15P to C-10/15-P, Ledra advertising Ltd and Others v European Commission and European Central Bank, 20 September 2016)
 - Cf. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Proposals for Solvency II 2020 Review Harmonisation of National Insurance Guarantee Schemes (national IGS, minimum harmonisation, minimum coverage level, national persons and small legal entities, etc.)





2.2. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Solvency II 2020 Review – Proportionality



- enforcement of principle of proportionality
 - divergences between Member States' implementation and industry dissatisfaction
 - tresholds for exclusion from SII application
 - retention of Article 4, Solvency II, but:
 - doubling of technical provisions tresholds from 25 mln to 50 mln
 - MS option to increase the premium income treshold from 5 mln to 25 mln
 - reinforcement of proportionality across all three pillars
 - quantitative:

simplified calculation of standard formula, calculation of counterparty default risk module, immaterial risks

qualitative:

Improvement of system of governance requirements (combination of key functions, frequency of ORSA, flexibility with regard to the frequency of written policies review and assessment of administrative body), pre-emptive recovery and resolution planning

reporting and disclosure:

risk-based supervisory reporting package, revision of the risk-based tresholds, simplification of quarterly submission, deletion and simplification of templates



2.2. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Solvency II 2020 Review – Discussion of selected topics



- other topics
 - changes to the SCR and MCR
 - propriety assessments
 - possiblity of joint assessment in complex cross-border cases
 - freedom to provide services and freedom of establishment
 - Exchange of information between supervisory authorities
 - macro-prudential policy
 - ORSA
 - systemic risk and liquidity risk management plans
 - capital surcharge for systemic risk
 - concentration tresholds
 - pre-emptive recovery and resolution plans + policy freezes
 - IAIS Common Framework for the Supervision of Internationally Active Insurance Groups?
 - etc.



2.2. EIOPA Consultation Paper on Solvency II 2020 Review – Key takeaways



- comprehensive reform no revolution
- regulatory focus remains on portfolio transfer as a tool for risk prevention and management of stress situations
- harmonisation of recovery and resolution
- pre-emptive recovery and resolution planning and reporting may lead to:
 - increased costs for run-off portfolios
 - reassessment of business strategy (focus on core business?)

> amount of run-off transactions expected to increase



Should I submit comments to EIOPA's 2020 SII Review Consultation?



> Yes!

importance of replies to EIOPA Consultation:

- sharing of experience
- description of nature of legacy insurers and their challenges
- importance of orderly run-off and legacy expertise for financial stability
- importance of proportionality
- "educate the regulator"
- possibility to make concrete proposals to facilate runoff business



- 2.3. What's next?
- Respondents must submit replies via eiopa.europa.eu before 15 January 2020





Q&A



Contact details



Sandra Lodewijckx Partner Insurance and Reinsurance +32 2 787 90 33 sandra.lodewijckx@lydian.be



Alexander Hamels Associate Insurance and Reinsurance +32 2 787 90 22 alexander.hamels@lydian.be



Brussels Office



Tour & Taxis Havenlaan 86C b113 1000 Brussels T +32 2 787 90 00 F +32 2 787 90 99

Antwerp Office



Arenbergstraat 23 2000 Antwerp T +32 3 304 90 00 F +32 3 304 90 19





LYDIAN



Stay in touch and join us at: <u>LinkedIn</u> <u>info@lydian.be</u> <u>www.lydian.be</u>

LEGAL INSIGHT. BUSINESS INSTINCT.